

Triangulated Sentiment Analysis of Tweets

Dr Simone E Griesser

School of Applied Psychology

FHNW University of Applied Sciences
and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

Dr Neha Gupta

Warwick Institute for the Science of Cities

University of Warwick, United Kingdom



6th Swiss Data Science Conference, 14th June 2019, Berne




Agenda

- Research Motivation
- Overview of Lexical, Machine Learning, and Psycholinguistic Sentiment Approaches
- Dataset
- Sentiment Analysis with Lexical, Machine Learning, and Psycholinguistic Approaches
- Results
- The Nuances of Psycholinguistics: Sentiment Intensity
- Outlook




Research Motivation: Data Abundance and Lack of Interdisciplinary Approach




Increasing
amount of
unstructured
language
data available
reflecting
consumer opinions.

Research Motivation: Data Abundance and Lack of Interdisciplinary Approach




Research Motivation: Data Abundance and Lack of Interdisciplinary Approach




Computer Science Approaches: Lexical and Machine Learning

Lexical Method

Data Dictionaries
(Lexicons)




Word
Comparisons




Sentiment
Scores

Computer Science Approaches: Lexical and Machine Learning

Lexical Method




Several Machine Learning Methods



Psychological Approach: Psycholinguistics

- Psycholinguistics is concerned with language comprehension and the relationship between language and psychological processes. (Miller, 1965; Rubenstein & Aborn, 1960)
- Views sentiment a continuum and differentiates between different positive emotions, e.g. *how positive*.
- Emotional experiences are multidimensional. (Warriner, et al., 2013)



Comparing and Contrasting the Different Approaches

Lexical	Machine Learning	Psycholinguistics
Unigram	Bigram	Unigram
1 or >1 Lexicon(s)	1 or >1 Lexicon(s) Training data	1 Dictionary database (lexicon)

Ensuing Propositions


- Lexical and Psycholinguistics approaches are similar due to unigram.
- Lexical and Machine Learning approaches are similar due to the same initial dictionary database.
- Lexical and Machine Learning approaches are similar due to calculus similarity: the number of negative word occurrences are subtracted from the number of positive word occurrences.

The Dataset and Research Context: Service Outage




- Skype outage on 21st September 2015.
- Data collection with Twitter streaming API and twitter4j API Java package.
 - Real-time collection of 1% - 40% of sent tweets.
- Use of keywords, ‘#skypedown’ and ‘skypedown’ in the tweet text.
- Collection of approximately 10,000 tweets.

Sentiment Scoring: Lexical Approach




- Remove stop words from text.
- Extract unigrams (single words).
- Obtain sentiment scores per words from Bing-Liu lexicon.
- Classify tweets into positive, negative, and neutral categories.


Sentiment Scoring: Machine Learning Approach



- Divide into training dataset (labelled) and test dataset.
- Train machine learning model (Logistic Regression).
- Check performance – cross validation.
- Run model on unseen data.
- Repeat.


Sentiment Scoring: Psycholinguistic Approach

- Sentiment positivity ratings for 13,915 word lemmas. (Warriner et al. 2013)
- Each word has been rated at least by 18 individuals.
- 
1 = completely unhappy, annoyed, unsatisfied, melancholic, or despaired
9 = completely happy, pleased, satisfied, or contented
- Database has been recently used in the consumer behaviour discipline.
(Ren & Nickerson 2014; Hildebrand et al. 2017)




Results

Lexcial Approach




Sentiment, -1 = unhappy, 1 = happy

ML Approach




Sentiment, -1 = unhappy, 1 = happy

Psycholinguistic Approach



Sentiment mean, - 1 = unhappy, 1 = happy

Psycholinguistic Approach



Sentiment mean, - 1 = unhappy, 1 = happy


Results – Correlation Matrix with Kendall’s *tau*

	Lexical	Machine Learning	Psycholinguistic Mean	Psycholinguistic Median
Lexical	-	.473***	.466***	.403***
Machine Learning		-	.295***	.244***
Psycholinguistic Mean			-	.847***
Psycholinguistic Median				-

- Lexical and psycholinguistics dictionary databases (lexicon) seem to be somewhat similar.
- Approaches seem to start deviating from each other with the learning algorithm.
- Similarities or differences cannot be explained in terms of data cleaning processes or differing stop words.
 - Same data cleaning process and same stop word list for all three approaches.


The Nuances of Psycholinguistics: How to Obtain More Customer Insight

- Emotional experiences are multidimensional:
(Warriner, et al., 2013)
 - Sentiment positivity: language valence



Sentiment Positivity

The Nuances of Psycholinguistics: How to Obtain More Customer Insight



- Emotional experiences are multidimensional:
(Warriner, et al., 2013)
 - Sentiment positivity: language valence
 - Sentiment intensity: language arousal



1 = completely relaxed, calm, sluggish, dull, sleepy, or unaroused.

9 = completely stimulated, excited, frenzied, jittery, wide-awake, or aroused.

The Nuances of Psycholinguistics: Use in Customer Relationship Management (CRM)


- **Customer Delight** (Oliver, Rust & Varki, 1997): *'delighted'* customers are more satisfied and loyal than *'content'* customers.
- *'Delight'* is a stronger positive emotion than *'content'* → strong emotions more powerfully influence customer satisfaction than weakly experienced emotions.
- The Lexical and Machine Learning approaches poorly reflect these nuances because:
 - The words *'delighted'* and *'content'* are treated as equally positive.
 - According to the computation method of the Lexical and Machine Learning approaches, these sentences would have an equal sentiment:
"It was a joyous event, but I was displeased about the weather".
"It was a joyous event, but I was upset about the weather".

The Nuances of Psycholinguistics: Use in Customer Relationship Management (CRM)

- **Customer Delight** (Oliver, Rust & Varki, 1997): *'delighted'* customers are more satisfied and loyal than *'content'* customers.
- *'Delight'* is a stronger positive emotion than *'content'* → strong emotions more powerfully influence customer satisfaction than weakly experienced emotions.
- The Lexical and Machine Learning approaches poorly reflect these nuances because:
 - The words *'delighted'* and *'content'* are treated as equally positive.
 - According to the computation method of the Lexical and Machine Learning approaches, these sentences would have an equal sentiment:



"It was a joyous event, but I was displeased about the weather".

"It was a joyous event, but I was upset about the weather".



Psycholinguistic approach addresses this lack of detail for CRM with nuanced sentiment positivity and sentiment intensity scores.

The Nuances of Psycholinguistics: Sentiment Intensity in CRM



- Despite service failure, Skype customers were not strongly upset.
 - Maybe only very unhappy customers were strongly upset?
- Selection of tweets in the sample whose sentiment was three standard deviations above or below the mean.
- Correlation of sentiment positivity and sentiment intensity:
 - With increasing sentiment positivity, unhappy and happy customers use slightly calmer language ($\tau = - .115$, $z = -15.453$, $p < .001$; $\tau = - .143$, $z = -5.185$, $p < .001$).
 - Negligible difference in sentiment intensity between very unhappy and very happy customers.

Outlook

- Monitor customer sentiment positivity and intensity in written or spoken language to assess the impact of:
 - Service recovery actions.
 - Customer inconveniences, i.e. delayed or wrong delivery, on customer satisfaction.
- Better understand when your customer gets frustrated with self-service technology and wants a member of staff: Very negative and emotionally intense language → high levels of frustration.
- Monitor the performance of complaint handling or call centres by analysing customer language.
- Reduce market research and customer insight cost.

- More from Psycholinguistics: Language abstractness.
 - Measure similarities between brands.
 - Measure brand or product knowledge of individual customer groups.

Thank you!

Dr Simone Griesser **Senior Research and Teaching Fellow**

School of Applied Psychology FHNW
Institute for Market Supply and Consumer Decision-Making
Riggenbachstrasse 16
4600 Olten

T +41 62 957 26 78

Simone.Griesser@fhnw.ch

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/simonegriesser>

<https://www.fhnw.ch/en/people/dr-simone-griesser>